



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| | | | • | |
|----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
| . 10/052,719 | 01/17/2002 | Richard Hsiao | SJO9-2001-0046US1 | 1405 |
| 32112 | 7590 06/26/2003 | | | |
| INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY LAW OFFICE | | | EXAMINER | |
| 1901 S. BASC CAMPBELL, | COM AVENUE, SUITE 660 CA 95008 | | TUPPER, ROBERT S | |
| | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 2652 | A |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 06/26/2003 | ل ، |
| | | | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



| · | | | | | | |
|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
| Y | 10/052,719 | HSIAO ET AL. | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | Robert S Tupper | 2652 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply | | | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status | 66(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days also apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE | nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | | |
| | January 2002 | | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>17 J</u> | | | | | | |
| | s action is non-final. | | | | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | | |
| 4) Claim(s) <u>1-40</u> is/are pending in the application | | | | | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray | with troth consideration. | | | | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | |
| 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. | | | | | | |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | In all and a second | | | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) <u>1-40</u> are subject to restriction and/or ε Application Papers | election requirement. | | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner | • | | | | | |
| 10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>04 April 2002</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| | • | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. | | | | | | |
| 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 | | | | | | |
| 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). | | | | | | |
| a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: | priority under 55 0.0.0. § 115(a |)-(a) or (i). | | | | |
| <u> </u> | s have been received | | | | | |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No | | | | | | |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior | | | | | | |
| application from the International Bur * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of | eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | • | | | | |
| 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). | | | | | | |
| a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. | | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | | | | | |
| Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) | 5) Notice of Informal F | (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152) | | | | |
| | • | _ | | | | |

Art Unit: 2652

1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

Claims 1-24, drawn to a magnetic head structure, classified in class 360,
 subclass 126.

 Claims 25-40, drawn to a method of making a head, classified in class 29, subclass 603.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- 2. Inventions I and II are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the head can be made using a materially different process e.g. the coil can be provided before the filler is complete.
- 3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

IF EITHER THE INVENTION OF GROUP I OR GROUP II IS ELECTED, APPLICANT MUST FURTHER MAKE THE FOLLOWING ELECTION OF SPECIES:

Application/Control Number: 10/052,719

Art Unit: 2652

4. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: (A) figures 5-14, (B) figures 15-27, (C) figure 28, (D) figures 29-38, (E) figure 38, and (F) figure 40.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 1 and 25 are considered to be generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/052,719

Art Unit: 2652

5. A telephone call was made to Mr. Guillot on 6/25/03 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

6. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robert S Tupper whose telephone number is 703-308-1601. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon - Fri, 6:00 AM - 3:30 PM (first Fri off).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Hoa Nguyen can be reached on 703-305-9687. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9314 for regular communications and 703-872-9314 for After Final communications.

Application/Control Number: 10/052,719

Art Unit: 2652

Page 5

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-3054750.

Robert S Tupper Primary Examiner Art Unit 2652

rst June 25, 2003